Table 2. Revised Rating System for Evaluation of Nominees (as of December 2008)

	,
Points	Remarks
Multi-authors (for journal articles and books)  • 1-2 authors 100% of total pts  • 3-4 80%  • 5 or more 60%	<ul> <li>♣ In order for publications to be considered the following should be satisfied:         <ul> <li>UP must be explicitly indicated as the primary address of the author(s) in the title page.</li> <li>The journal (print or electronic) should                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>
	<ul><li>1-2 authors 100% of total pts</li><li>3-4 80%</li></ul>

Revised\_December2008 Page 1 of 6

Table 2. Revised Rating System for Evaluation of Nominees (as of December 2008)

Source of Points	Points	Remarks
A. Scientific		
Articles/Journals		
1. ISI Journal Articles		
a. As author	7 pts per entry	
b. As Editor-in-	(to be credited under II)	
Chief		
2. International non-ISI Journal		Articles in non-ISI journals listed in Annex A (which shows a list of non-ISI
Articles		journals considered in the last evaluation for SPS) are considered.
a. As author	5 pts per entry	
b. As Editor-in-Chief	(to be credited under II)	If the non-ISI journal is not included in Annex A, applicant must submit the
		following:
		• a copy of the journal's Instruction to Authors
		• a copy of correspondence from the editor, stating that the article was sent to
		referees for review before they were accepted for publication
		For conference proceedings published in a journal, applicant must submit the
3. National		following:
a. As author	5 pts per entry	<ul> <li>documents or letters, stating that the article was sent to referees for review</li> </ul>
b. As Editor-in-Chief	5 pts - for journals with 1 issue per year	
of local/national journal	3 pts − 2 or more issues per year	For editorship
		• the applicant should have at least a one year appointment proof of which
		should be submitted
		• only a maximum of two (2) entries during the period under review shall be
		considered.

Revised\_December2008

Table 2. Revised Rating System for Evaluation of Nominees (as of December 2008)

Source of Points	Points	Remarks
B. Scientific/Technological Books/Chapters of a Book published by prestigious publishers	Assignment of points:  • 1-2 authors/editors  • 3-4  • 5 or more  100% of total pts 80% 60%	UNESCO defines a book - as a "a non-periodical printed publication of at least 49 pages, exclusive of the cover pages, published in the country and made available to the public" (UNESCO General Conference, 1964)
1. National a. As author	35 pts per entry (for author/s of the whole book)  7 pts per entry maximum of 35 pts (for author/s of the book chapter)	In addition to the general guidelines in I, the book should have national distribution.  Publishers listed in Annex B (which shows a list of reputable national book publishers considered in the last evaluation for SPS) are considered.  If the book publisher is not included in Annex B, the applicant must submit a copy
b. As Editor (whole book)	15 pts	of the correspondence from the editor stating that the book was sent to referees for review.
2. International		Publishers of book(s)/chapter(s) listed in Annex C (which shows the list of
a. As author	50 pts per entry (for author/s of the whole book)	international book publishers considered for the UP International Publication Award) are considered.
	10 pts per entry (for author/s of the book chapter)	If the book publisher is not included in Annex C, the applicant must submit a copy of the correspondence from the editor stating that the book was sent to their referees for review.
b. As Editor	(to be credited under II)	
C. Technological Outputs	Assignment of points:  • 1-2 owners 100% of total pts  • 3-4 80%  • 5 or more 60%	For patents and designs to be credited, UP must be indicated as the primary address of the owner(s)/developer(s).
1. Patents	15 pts per local patent	
	50 pts per international patent	
2. Peer-reviewed designs	10 pts per local design	

Revised\_December2008

Table 2. Revised Rating System for Evaluation of Nominees (as of December 2008)

Source of Points	Point	`	Remarks
II. Scientific Recognition	1 3111	<u> </u>	• To be credited, the books/journals should meet the criteria enumerated in I.A.
(International)			• Not included: publications of non-academic organizations and societies
A. Editor	Assignment of points:		Maximum of two (2) entries for the period under review
	• 1-2 authors/editors	100% of total pts	• The nominee should have at least one-year contract as editor.
	• 3-4	80%	
	• 5 or more	60%	
1. ISI Journal			
- Editor-in-Chief	20 pts per appointment		
- Issue editor	10 pts per appointment		
2. International non- ISI Journal			
- Editor-in-Chief	12 pts per appointment		
- Issue editor	7 pts per appointment		
3. Book	20 pts per appointment		
B. Peer reviewer	3 pts per article reviewed	l	• Maximum of two (2) entries for the period under review
C. Member of the	5 pts per appointment		Maximum of two (2) entries for the period under review
Editorial Board			• The nominee should have at least one-year contract as member of the editorial
D. Cit. d			board.
D. Citation	2 pts per citation		• Maximum of three (3) citations for the period under review
E. Duefessional Standing (in			The organization should
E. Professional Standing (in international organizations/			be respected in its disciplinal field/s
conferences)			<ul> <li>be respected in its disciplinal field's</li> <li>be an independent scientific international organization</li> </ul>
conferences)			be an independent scientific international organization     have world renowned scientists as members and awardees from different
			countries
			• promote membership in the form of recognition through nomination, not by
			mere application and paying of membership fees or subscription to a journal
			mere application and paying of memoership tees of subscription to a journal

Revised\_December2008 Page 4 of 6

Table 2. Revised Rating System for Evaluation of Nominees (as of December 2008)

Source of Points	Points	Remarks
E. Professional Standing (in international organizations/ conferences)		
Membership in prestigious international academic, scientific and policy-making bodies, by invitation     a. Short-term assignment (less than 1 year)     b. Long-term assignment (at least 1 year)	7 pts 10 pts	Maximum of two (2) entries for the period under review     Only membership in academic and scientific and policy-making bodies are considered     Membership should be through direct invitation ONLY and recognition of the nominee's professional standing     Not included     memberships achieved through the recommendations of institutions, governments, organizations, societies and the like, or by means of public or administrative positions held by the nominee     official positions and memberships in ad hoc committees, associations, and
2. International Scientific Award	30 pts per award	<ul> <li>Maximum of two (2) entries for the period under review</li> <li>The award should <ul> <li>be through international competition with nominees from different countries</li> <li>advance a discipline through outstanding or seminal contribution.</li> <li>come from a non-profit, independent organizations dedicated to the further development and promotion of research and education</li> <li>have been won by respected scientists.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Not included <ul> <li>best paper/poster in conferences, etc.</li> <li>awards funded by international agencies specific for the Philippines</li> <li>UP International Publication Award</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Revised\_December2008 Page 5 of 6

Table 2. Revised Rating System for Evaluation of Nominees (as of December 2008)

Source of Points	Points	Remarks
3. Keynote Speaker	25 pts per invitation	<ul> <li>Maximum of two (2) entries for the period under review</li> <li>The nominee should be indicated as the keynote address speaker during a convention, conference and the like, i.e., the nominee as the sole keynote address speaker</li> <li>If not labeled as keynote speaker but there are no simultaneous sessions and the session is for all, nominee can be considered as a keynote speaker.</li> <li>Not included <ul> <li>In the case where the nominee was invited as a keynote speaker based on his/her position/designation, and not through recognition of his/her scientific accomplishments</li> <li>Where there are several "keynote speakers" indicated in the program for each day of the conference, the "keynote speaker" entry will be regarded as entry for plenary speaker and will be assigned points accordingly. Some conference organizers interchangeably use "keynote speaker" and "plenary speaker."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4. Plenary Speaker	10 pts per invitation	<ul> <li>Maximum of two (2) entries for the period under review</li> <li>Indicated as plenary speaker in one of the sessions of a convention, conference and the like</li> <li>Not included: The nominee was an invited plenary based on his/her position/designation</li> </ul>

## Additional Requirements/Conditions:

- 1. Faculty members and REPS wanting to apply to the UP Scientific Productivity System shall submit a completely filled in application form with the appropriate documents as labeled attachments to the application form. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant and the recommending officer(s) at the unit/college/CU levels to check and ensure the completeness of the application. Accordingly, an incomplete application shall be processed by the System Committee as is.
- 2. Entries without proper documentation shall not be given points.
- 3. Faculty members and REPS who have been charged of criminal or administrative offense do not qualify for the Award. For those with pending cases, the Award shall be withheld, if the nominee qualifies, until the resolution of his/her case.

Revised\_December2008 Page 6 of 6